Read Message

Previous Next Back to: Inbox

From:chung-lit choi

Date:2006/08/29 Tue PM 04:48:55 CST

To:pa-consultation@cab.gov.hk

Subject: Additional Assistance to CEO and Chiefs: Rejection

Reply Reply All Forward Delete Move To: (Choose Folder)

Dear Officer.

I oppose to the proposal to add additional positions to assist the CEO and Chiefs because there is nothing to justify additional human resources needed. Additional personel in the Department without clear responsibility and targets will simply make the Heads more troubles in managing a bigger group and smear responsibility of existing staffs; that is, there will be a worsen performance. While conceptually more staff can make operations more smooth and better organisation and management, such concept is based on clear targets and well defined outcomes that are measurable and auditable. The current government has no direction and action plan to lift Hong Kong's basic economic growth other than tourism offered by our motherland. In addition, the plans to GST, without developing a sound social security platform to protect the poor, is so naive, even for the public to discuss or being educated about it when the gap of the rich and poor is widening further every year. In short, I hope the government is smart enough to understand her responsibility and ensure that all chiefs understand their responsibility and expectations, instead of champagning for ideals that some developed countries have adopted and are still experimenting on. I cannot justify HK tresury to spend public money to educate HK citizen what GST is. GST will inevitably push inflation faster as learnt from subscribing countries. This is an harassment to the poor and our sick retail markets, as well as alarming HK people that our government does not understand what are the fundamentals for running our society since they treat HK society as a school or a company. The former only spends while the latter only extracts.

As HK has no future plan, poor education system, bad finance handling strategy, and confused transport and environmental outlook, I do not see how these additional staff can change the mentality of our existing CEO and Chiefs. Until they wake up to tell me about how they can use these new people to turn round with moniterable promises I do not think this proposal should be supported.