

Mr. Stephen S.L. Lam  
Secretary for the Constitutional Affairs  
Constitutional Affairs Bureau  
Government Secretariat  
3rd Floor, Main Wing  
Central Government Offices  
Lower Albert Road. HK

23rd Sept 2006 HK

Dear Mr. Lam,

Re: Consultation Document on Further Development of the  
Political Appointment System dated 26<sup>th</sup> July 2006

Today I am writing in response to the above publication and to express my full support for the proposal to create new positions to assist Principal Officials, namely Deputy Directors of Bureau and Assistants to Directors of Bureau. I definitely agree that the addition of high caliber manpower for the decision making level of the government will greatly enhance the speed, the scope of research, the accuracy and the competitiveness in all forms of governmental functions, both domestically and internationally. The consequence must be very significant and invaluable, and therefore, well worth the investment. It is something usually not essential in colonial governments, but very important for an autonomous administration. So my congratulations!

I am enclosing herewith a new CD, which contains my discussions on the deficiencies in Western democracy and historical backgrounds, as well as the possibilities of improvements, such as the currently proposed Hong Kong version of democracy and universal suffrage. However, I have taken caution not to go too deeply into the details so that I won't interfere your work on the Hong Kong proposal. However, in order to illustrate the problems of Western system of democracy, I have to bring in a few rough ideas of my own. I have also made a list of problems, i.e. complaints, protests, riots and unrests, etc., caused by those systems in the very recent years. I have also listed 30 points of serious flaws in the Western style of democracy. I hope that my analysis has to some extent dampened the urgent demands by those who might blindly adore the Western system and therefore pay more attention to our local initiatives.

This analysis of democracy is only a small part of the CD. The greater part of it contains a complete theory of cosmology called "The Regenerating Universe", in which I have described the overall structure of universe and the origin of gravity. The page relating to democracy is named "c: Conclusion for the two letters" under the section "Related Topics". The "two letters" are in fact two very long letters I had written and presented to senior leader Deng Xiao Ping directly after the Tien An Men incident in 1989. In the first letter I had urged Mr. Deng to open up China with greater pace, to which Deng and the central government responded quite positively at the time. So I followed by writing the second letter a year later, which discussed some world issues and suggested that King Hussein of Jordan could act as mediator for peace between Israel and Palestine. Both copies of said letters were later sent to UN for her 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary. President Clinton reacted positively and did call King Hussein to mediate, which resulted in bringing Arafat and Itzak Rabin to shake hands at White House lawn. These two letters also include my warnings on Halley comet's arrival in 1986, which might bring possible stimulation to the micro-electric activities of human brain causing unusual excitations, or on animal brain causing mass suicides and on microscopic viruses possible mutations.

I have therefore written a detailed material as a conclusion to those two political letters and publish it on the web. In this conclusion I Particularly discuss the natures of what I call "3 Ticking Time Bombs", which are namely democracy, religion and the nuclear bomb. I have given my full account why certain version of democracy is also a time bomb, which happens to be in total agreement with your esteemed initiatives. I would be greatly honoured if you could find time to look into or rectify some of my views. So that if I did conflict with our local proposals, I could quickly remove it from the Internet. Also, if in any capacities I may add some ounces to your efforts, I would be too glad to do it. You are welcome to make more copies of enclosed CD at your convenience, and also to disregard all copy rights for the materials in the above said page and its related pages.

With my best regards,

Yours sincerely,

(Signed)

Adam W.L. Chan

**At the end of the list of troubled democracies around the world in recent times, I have recently added the following passages:-**

**(see my web-page 't) Conclusions for the two letters' under "Related Topics" of my website "<http://www.regenerating-universe.org>)**

" 28) Oct 10th 2006, Taiwan:- This day (Double Ten) is the all-important Taiwan's National Day. But reportedly on this day one and half million Taiwan people, dressed in red, took to the streets shouting thunderously, demanding their President Chen to step down. Some carried placards written in big words "shameless" and "step down", but Chen put on a brave face and declaring from the speech podium that anti-corruption is also one of his policies. He said if people are so divided, then next year he would cancel the National Day celebrations. Boy! He'd rather cancel the National Day than canceling his insistence to hold onto power, no matter what! The whole turmoil that has turned Taiwan up side down and lasted already an entire month was all about the arrest of his son-in-law for inside trading, the fact that his wife had accepted millions worth of gift vouchers, two of his close personal aids had already resigned because of the same inside trading and corruptions, and he is accused of miss using national funds. But Chen maintained that since he himself is not proven guilty, he will hang on, even though the sky has already fallen down on him. So the situation is a stalemate with both the ant-Chen forces and the pro-Chen forces locked in a contest of will power and in dead heat, in fact, turning Taiwan into a battle ground of anti-corruption and pro-corruption in front of the whole world. It appears Chen is willing and determined enough to bring in the soldiers and guns in the end, while the millions of shouting and marching people will eventually become totally exhausted and disillusioned (already one million people had donated money to support the anti-Chen march)... This Taiwan incident proves that democracy as such could happen not just in Taiwan, but anywhere in the world. It's not people's massive will and complaints that counts, nor corruption of family and close political aids that counts, not even personbours that matters, it's the hold onto power that matters. Since the thunders of people's cries and the daily pounding of foot-step of millions for a whole month are not even heard, I predict Chen will yet succeed with some assistances from hardcore experts, leaving Taiwan a blatant example of faulty democracy in front of the world and in history. And yet, there are other nations today where people are unable to impeach their national leaders for election frauds or corruptions due to support from their own party members and their party interests, because of that it becomes impossible for people to acquire the 2/3 parliamentary or congressional majority to effect impeachment. This, I am afraid, is the reality...

[Note: Above examples show that many nations that have a history of slavery or large quantity of colonial immigrants do have the necessity to practice democracy due to the demand for freedom and equal rights under modern conditions. Obviously the oppressive past cannot last forever. Therefore, democracy is a direct but slow result of evolution from hundreds of years of sacrifices and brutal struggles between the privileged and the under privileged of the past. Even so, such so called democracy must yet evolve with 21st century social conditions to become True Democracy as its name implies and demands. However, there are many nations in America, Europe, Middle East and Far East, especially Africa, that do not have similar historical experiences. They are still not ready, or not suitable for Western style democracy... When a nation, or a people, a culture or a tradition is suddenly super imposed, or prematurely introduced, with unaccustomed political

way of life or unfamiliar system of government, especially one consisting of splitting political parties, fighting for the juicy prize to rule the people, the result is understandably corruption, chaos, violent crash and even bloodshed, causing decades of instability. There is a provision in the United Nation's Charter for protection and mutual respect for individual traditional cultures and national sovereignty. Other nations have no right to impose their own ideas of so called "freedom" and belief of governance into other human societies, even if the intentions are positive and honourable, which unfortunately is usually not the case. Such imposition would be like asking the English speaking people to speak and think in terms of African languages, or vice versa, or perhaps an under privileged man to act like a millionaire, because the development and advance of social or political ethics and the process of evolution must take time and must be commensurate with proper social conditions. The term "Human Rights" generally refers to social and political rights that evolve with time and conditions, and is therefore subject to cultural, religious, especially recent history as well as present social and economic conditions. Hence the respect for human rights is also the respect for the rights of each individual tradition and its own path of historical evolution....

Now let me describe here the best guaranteed formula for trouble:- You first split up the nation into a few parts or parties, then you put between those parts the biggest temptation under the sun, i.e. the prize of highest prestige and power of a Presidency. Now you drum up the people to bear the burden of choosing one out the only few parties that you have already decided for them to choose and call it democracy. People will think they are the true master of the nation because you and the medias have told them so, but you make the choice for them. Then having setup the greatest lure in ones life time between those parties, you stand back to watch and see if they would cheat or kill each other for it. Of course, you can be quite certain that in most under privileged or even some developing countries, or just nations suffering from timely social economic disorders, they are bound to tear their home land apart. If they don't, all you have to do is to start it all over again in 4, or 6, or 8 years time. So, in those poor nations, sooner or later you would be lucky enough to put the laws, the guns or the knives into the hands of some strong man who doesn't mind corruptions or killing a bunch or two of his own people to get the prize. Alternatively, in some privileged nations, sooner or later you may be equally lucky to put national mandate into the hands a war lover or just an over zealous patriot who would then bomb some other people till kingdoms come, or better still, bomb them back to stone age, so that those poor and troubled victims can always stay poor and troubled, and the war lover or patriot could go down history as a hero and you as the victorious nation, even though it may cost money and lives of your own people..

What's more, in most such democratic systems people are made to take the blame for electing what the parties want them to elect, and to become the pretext and red herring for false righteousness. So with such excuses the privileged nations are justified to invade any nation

and change their government, while the under privileged nations can turn their own land into a battle ground of corruption and rioting hell. The trend is accelerating fast as you can see or hear from the medias almost every day, and The United Nations has to oversee the troubles in many lands almost every month. Besides, such a system is not even concerned with quality or morality. In order to gain popular votes, nowadays it's increasingly clear that medias driven popularity is the way to win. And so no one can predict or be responsible for the final outcome because it is the consent of the faceless masses. And yet history shows it's the mass consent that usually give rise to dictators and wars, especially when patriotism is used to justify or to dramatize action. That's why such hidden potential should be timely warned in this age of nuclear weapons. Because every single war, be it hot or cold, or every single aggression, be it military or ideological, is bringing mankind closer to that final nuclear confrontation. That's also why democracy has now become a world problem and a ticking time bomb...

You see, whenever you maximize the influence of political parties, you maximize party politics or the intensity of party struggles, and in turn, you minimize the principles and aspirations of democracy as well as the qualities of those party arranged electoral candidates. But if you minimize the party struggles and replace parties with maximizing the standard of electorate who are selected specifically for the duty to represent the people, the daily function of national and social welfare and the responsibility to search for the best candidates, you maximize the quality of electoral candidates and the potential leadership as well as the stability of national life. Systems that use people to elect ones own candidates and consolidate ones power, use people, and are not for the people, while systems that look for the better candidates among all the better people, are for the betterment of all people. The difference marks the real intent and final truth of democracy. Therefore the essence or the hope of democracy does not lie in its name or its designed structures but in its substance, its purpose and its outcome. Also the respect of human rights must begin with respect for the rights of individual culture and history, and not the imposition of ones own will on them. It is the only safe route to improve social evolution, to prolong peace and prosperity and to advance civilization.

(Note:- Nations that promote legal protection for homosexual activities and homosexual marriages should never do so under the slogan "Human Rights" because no male human or animal has the rights to invade another male body sexually. It's an act against culture, education, religion, nature's purpose, parents' hopes, respect of females, up-bringing of children, progress of civilization and advance of evolution. Whenever and wherever an act that does harm to all these human nature and human functions is a crime and has no rights. In fact, it's the society that has every right and duty to protect itself against any harmful and degenerative spread. Freedom without restrain or sex without restrain has never been any part of social rights or human rights. It is a timeless thing and has nothing to do with modernity.

Of course

**In supplement to my discussion about Hong Kong style democracy and at the end of Hong Kong proposal, I have newly added the following passages:-**

**"See my page) Conclusions for the two letter's under "Related Topics" of my website "<http://www.regenerating-universe.org>".**

"Of course, the above is a brand new proposal. In fact, it would be the first in the world. It's going to need time to smooth off the corners. The present political party controlled Western democracies have been passed down the history and evolved since long ago into a huge and complex system. Each political party has its own organization, its support team, man power and established resources. Each time a government changes hands from one political party to an opposition party, thousands of government operatives would change with it. The bigger the nation is, the more operatives are needed. Changing party control of government meaning some party members would get the chance to run the nation, opposition party members would lose their control and jobs. Such tradition is a course of nature that has evolved in time through necessity. If you remove the party controls and develop a truly new democratic system, the past traditions and the entire manpower infrastructure must in time evolve with the change. Therefore, the new tradition should be carefully built and the system must gain experience step by step.

However, in the case of Hong Kong, because only a few years ago she was still a British colony and because historically she had grown from a village into a town and then a city, the political parties system had never existed under the British rule. Therefore the tradition and infrastructure of the system was not built for competition of political parties and changing party controls. Yet the Hong Kong has proven to be politically stable and economically successful through many years of tests, through great changes and through years of Cold Wars in her surrounding regions, until she becomes an influential and affluent entity of the world. If Hong Kong is to remain a liberal, peaceful and prosperous economic community as it is known to be all over the world, then she should continue her present developments based on such stability and traditions, which is to say, without political parties. If someday her rule of land should fall into the hands of political parties and the parties would want to change their preferred operatives, it would plunge the present system as well as the peace and traditions of Hong Kong into chaos, otherwise there would be violent conflict of decisions.

Because of the past, the present Hong Kong government badly needs supports from all directions as well as supporting man power, because it has been barely a few years from the change over from the British rule. The Chief Executive of Administration and other essential administrators at the decision making level are far too single handed to cope with the swarms of big and small demands from all sectors of the community, which have quickly risen as a result of changing sovereignty and change of the tradition. There are some organizations that seek every opportunity to stir up more demands and complaints aimed at the

Of course

government. The most recent demand was to pressure the government to legislate a minimum wage system (that would appear to benefit expressively those foreign or migrant domestic workers, because the government seek to compensate local people with social benefits, subsidies and other amenities) thus forcing the government to go against the employers and the Hong Kong industries as well as to dismantle the successful and time proven system of free economy. Meanwhile the government has it hands full of big and new problems, including the stabilization of recovery from many years of Asian economic down turn, the designs and changes from previous colonial rule into new autonomous governing system and their related daily businesses, the daily businesses and developments with the Beijing Central Government and neighbouring princes, hosts of dealings of competitive international affairs since her becoming an autonomous entity, the problems of developing democracy, and the recent urgent preventions of SARS, bird flu..... and multitudes of other important things that are typical after the change of sovereignty only a few short years ago. None of these demanding problems those chief executives of precious government under British rule had had to experience, including the economic recovery and leading the way to the world's first truer and more meaningful democratic system. "