

From:
Sent: Friday, March 09, 2007 6:50 PM
To: CAB Enquiry
Subject: Candidates for Chief Executive

Dear the Correspondence,

It seems that there are and going to have quite a number of proposals on the road map for the election on Chief Executive for the HK SAR Government.

As a HK citizen, I would only expect two or at most three candidates for public voting.

Reasons:

1. it will take a lot of time to consider their political ideas and proposed strategies in leading HK. For a normal citizen, who would spend more than ten hours in work or continuous education, he/she can only spend half or one hour per day to think on such questions.
2. Considering the worst case of three candidates, it may only take one-third of vote to win the election. That is totally far from majority. For the proposal by Ms. Anson Chan, ten candidates may come out for public voting and it would only take one-tenth of vote to win election. There is no democracy at all.

The ideal situation would be two candidates for public voting. Majority of more than 50% of vote would win and the winner will need to ensure intaking one-third of the losers into his cabinet. The successful winning way for modern democracy is to be ruled by majority but reserving care on the demands from minority.

You may read the book "the Tipping Point" by Malcolm Gladwell or looking at the situation in Iraq now. Minority is always the major driving force in revolution on the countries.

Regards,

(Name provided)

(Editor's Note: The sender requested anonymity.)