 Read Message

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:
Date: 2004/02/24 Tue PM 07:48:57 CST
To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>

Subject: B1 What is the most appropriate legislative procedure for amending the methods for selecting the CE and forming the LegCo set out in Annexes I and II:

    Move To:


Dear sir/madam,

2/3 Legco members' support for change is basic. CE's consent and the Standing committee of NPC are vital for approving changes in election of CE or Legco members.

    Move To:

   Back to: [Inbox](#)

[Help](#)

 Read Message

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:
Date:2004/02/24 Tue PM 07:54:24 CST
To:<views@cab-review.gov.hk>

B2. Do we need to follow the procedures set out in Article 159 of the Basic Law, if we amend the
Subject:methods for selecting the CE or forming the LegCo as specified in Annexes I and II of the Basic
Law

    Move To: 

Dear sir/madam,

Yes, we do need to follow Article 159. But NPC should take into consideration variety of opinions from all sectors of society in deciding for change or not.


    Move To: 

   Back to: [Inbox](#)

[Help](#)



Read Message



Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:

Date: 2004/02/24 Tue PM 07:57:17 CST

To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>

Subject: B3. How should any amendment relating to the methods for selecting the CE and for forming the LegCo be initiated



Move To:

Dear sir/decision,

Decision as to amendment in CE election and Legco formation should be initiated by CE with the Executive council after wide consultation with the people of HKSAR.




Move To:



Back to: [Inbox](#)

[Help](#)

 Read Message

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:
Date:2004/02/24 Tue PM 07:58:28 CST
To:<views@cab-review.gov.hk>

B4. Whether the method for formation of the third term LegCo could be used for the fourth term
Subject:LegCo, if no consensus were reached on whether to amend the method for forming the LegCo
after 2007

    Move To:

Dear sir/madam,


Yes, it could be used because there seems no need for any big changes.

    Move To:

   Back to: [Inbox](#)

Heiz

 Read Message

  Back to: [Inbox](#)

From:

Date: 2004/02/24 Tue PM 07:59:03 CST

To: <views@cab-review.gov.hk>

Subject: B5. Whether the phrase 'subsequent to the year 2007' should be understood to include 2007

    Move To: 

Dear sir/madam,

Subsequent should mean later than 2007, not including 2007.

    Move To: 

   Back to: [Inbox](#)

[Help](#)